

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar,  
# 148, Model Town, Phase-1,  
Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o IGP, Bathinda Range,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3370 of 2020**

Present: Sh.Sanjeev Goyal as the Appellant  
**Sh.Sanjeev Singla, DSP (HQ) Bathinda for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 22.06.2020 has sought information on 14 points regarding copies of complaint UID No.1716249 dated 23.12.2019 along with a complete file – copy of the order/circular issued by Bathinda Administration for not allowing more than one passenger on a two-wheeler and three passengers on four-wheelers along with copy of order allowing the police personnel to take photo/video via mobile phone – challan issued for violation of traffic rules and other information as enumerated in the RTI application, concerning the office of SSP Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent information on 21.08.2020 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case last came up for hearing on 03.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 21.08.2020 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant was not satisfied and informed that the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application, the information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

- |               |   |  |
|---------------|---|--|
| - Point-1     | - | Information provided   |
| - Point-2     | - | To provide a copy of the order, if available on record   |
| - Point-3 &4  | - | Provided   |
| - Point-5     | - | Sufficiently replied to.   |
| - Point-6     | - | Provided   |
| - Point-7 & 8 | - | To ascertain and transfer the RTI application to the concerned authority (under section 6(3) with a copy to the Commission |
| - Point-9     | - | To correct the mistake in the information already provided.  |
| - Point-10    | - | Provided   |
| - Point-11    | - | To provide whatever information is available on record.  |
| - Point-12    | - | To transfer this to the vigilance bureau (under section 6 (3) with a copy to the Commission.                               |
| - Point-13    | - | To correct the mistake in the already provided information..   |
| - Point-14    | - | Appellant to inspect the record and get the relevant information   |
| -             |   |  |
| -             |   |  |

**Hearing dated 15.06.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. As per the respondent, the information on all points as per the last order has been provided to the appellant and the RTI application regarding points 7 & 8 has been transferred to RTA Bathinda and for point- 12 to DGP, Vigilance, Punjab under section 6(3) of the RTI Act.

As per the appellant, the PIO has not corrected the information on point-9 & 13 and has also not provided information on points-11 & 14.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to correct the information on points 9 & 13. Regarding point-11, the appellant has now asked for information on two police stations out of 21 police stations. The PIO is directed to collect the same from concerned police stations and provide it to the appellant.

Regarding point-14, the appellant is directed to inspect the record by visiting the office of the PIO on 21.06.2021 at 02.00 PM and get the relevant information as per RTI Act.

With the above observations and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 15.06.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Jasbir Singh,  
Guru Nanak Nagar,  
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,  
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Principal Secretary,  
Food and Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,  
Sector-17-E, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Principal Secretary,  
Food and Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,  
Sector-17-E, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3844 of 2020**

**Present:       None for the Appellant**  
**Smt.Jasvir Kaur, Suptd.-cum-PIO and Sh.Vijay Kumar, Clerk O/o**  
**Food & Drug Administration, Pb Kharar for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.08.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 17.08.2020 from the office of Governor Punjab which transferred the same to Principal Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Pb Chandigarh u/s 6(3) vide letter dated 04.09.2020. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 17.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent. The appellant vide email informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The Commission also received an email from Sh.Satnam Singh, Food Safety Officer, Amritsar stating that he is the PIO in appeal case No.3844 of 2020 and appeal case No.4053 of 2020 and sought exemption on the plea that he has suffered Covid-19 and is quarantined.

The case was adjourned.

**Hearing dated 15.06.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Smt.Jasvir Kaur, Superintendent-cum-PIO O/o Food and Drug Administration, Kharar is present and informed that the information has already been sent to the appellant in the present case and appeal case No.4053 of 2020 by the PIO-cum-Food Safety Officer O/o Civil Surgeon, Amritsar vide letter dated 02.12.2020, 03.12.2020 and 10.12.2020 with a copy to the Commission since the information sought in both the cases is same.

The respondent further informed that the enquiry report which was pending with the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar has been received and the same has been sent to the appellant on his whatsapp. The respondent has also submitted a copy of the enquiry report to the Commission which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The appellant is absent and vide email informed that he has not received the letters dated 02.12.2020, 03.12.2020 & 10.12.2020 from the Food Safety officer and no information in the present case received.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the appellant has sought similar information in the present case and appeal case No.4053 of 2020 from different departments(the appellant has withdrawn appeal case No.4053 of 2020).

Since as per the respondent, the information in both the cases is exactly the same and stands provided, no further course of action is required. A copy of the information received from the Food Safety Officer, Amritsar and PIO-Food and Drug Administration, Kharar is being sent along with the order for the appellant.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 15.06.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Jasbir Singh,  
Guru Nanak Nagar,  
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,  
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director,  
Health & Family Welfare,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director,  
Health & Family Welfare,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4053 of 2020**

**Present:**       **None for the Appellant**  
                  **Smt.Jasvir Kaur, Suptd.-cum-PIO and Sh.Vijay Kumar, Clerk O/o**  
                  **Food & Drug Administration, Pb Kharar for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.08.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 17.08.2020 filed with Principal Secretary Health & Family Welfare, Punjab Chandigarh and other information from the office of Principal Secretary, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh which transferred the RTI application to Director Health & Family Welfare, Pb vide letter dated 08.09.2020. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 17.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant was absent and the vide letter received in the Commission on 21.01.2021 informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The Commission received an email from PIO-cum-Food Safety Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Amritsar stating that the sought information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 02.12.2020, 03.12.2020 and 10.12.2020 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission.

The respondent present from the office of Food & Drug Administration, Kharar informed that the enquiry is still pending with the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and they have already issued a letter on 03.12.2020 and again on 04.03.2021 to them to complete the enquiry and send enquiry report. The respondent also submitted a copy of a letter dated 04.03.2021 to the Commission which was taken on the file of the Commission.

**Hearing dated 15.06.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent from the office of Food & Drug Administration, Kharar is present and informed that the enquiry report from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar has been received and the same has been sent to the appellant through his whatsapp. The respondent has submitted a copy of the enquiry report to the Commission.

The appellant is absent and vide email has withdrawn his appeal case.

Since the appellant has withdrawn his appeal case, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated 15.06.2021

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Harpal Singh. S/o Sh Sadhu Singh,  
H No-VPO Ghudda,  
Tehsil & Distt Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SMO,  
Sub Divisional Hospital,  
Ghudda, Tehsil & Distt Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Medical Officer,  
Civil Hospital, Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 436 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Dr.Pardeep Bansal for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 08.08.2020 has sought information on 50 points regarding funds received by the hospital from Punjab Govt, Union Govt. ,MPLAD fund from 2011 till date - details of user charges of hospital and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO, Sub-Divisional Hospital, Ghudda, Distt.Bathinda. The appellant was asked vide letter dated 27.08.2020 by PIO to deposit requisite fee of Rs.17416/- for 8303 pages plus registry charges which the appellant did not deposit and the information was not provided. The appellant thereafter filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. The respondent present pleaded that since the IPO attached with the RTI application was not correct and issued in favour of Principal, Govt. Sr. Secondary Smart School, Ghudda, the IPO was returned to the appellant vide letter dated 27.08.2020. Further, the appellant was asked to deposit a requisite fee of Rs.17416/- but instead of depositing the requisite fee, the appellant only sent a demand draft of Rs.50/- on 03.08.2020. As per the respondent, the appellant was also asked to inspect the record and get the relevant information.

The appellant is absent without any legitimate reasons for the absence.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that since the information sought by the appellant is voluminous and the medical staff is already undergoing tremendous stress due to the ongoing Covid situation, I am not inclined to adjourn the case further since the appellant has already been informed to deposit a fee if interested in collecting the information. The appellant if interested to get the information may deposit the requisite fee and get the relevant information as per the RTI Act.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 15.06.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**